## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (MBHB No. 03-748)

Appl. No. : 10/628,631 Confirmation No. 4899

Applicants: Fred Monroe, et al.

Filed : 07/28/03

TC/A.U. : 3691

Examiner: AKINTOLA, Olabode

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## Dear Examiner,

Applicant thanks Examiner Akintola for the thorough examination and for recognizing the allowability of the subject matter of the claims. Applicant respectfully submits that the characterization of the invention of the claims in the Examiner's Statement for Reasons for Allowance may not take into account the entirety of the features of the invention reflected in the claims, the specification, and the prosecution history of the application. To that end, Applicant points out that the claims, specification, and prosecution history in their entirety provide an adequate basis for allowability.

Applicant also notes that there may be additional reasons for allowance that have not been specifically stated. Applicant respectfully submits that, notwithstanding the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, each of the allowed claims is patentable in its own right, for other reasons raised during prosecution and/or explained in the application.

To the extent that specific parts of the Examiner's Statement for Reasons for Allowance may pertain to features appearing in some but not all claims, Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner has thoroughly examined the claims, recognized the features appearing or not appearing in each of the claims, and properly found each of the claims to be allowable. To the extent that the Examiner has paraphrased claim features, Applicant respectfully submits that the

claims have been construed in view of their language in light of the specification and not limited by any of the Examiner's statements or by the recitation therein of any specific claim features.

Further, the statement of reasons for allowance includes statements regarding the disclosure of certain pieces of art. While Applicant does not necessarily agree with these characterizations of what is disclosed by the art, Applicant agrees with Examiner that the application is allowable over the disclosure of the cited art.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 27, 2010 By: /Thomas J. Loos/

Thomas J. Loos Reg. No. 60,161